



**UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------

100 978 001 000 000 000 000 000

100 978 001 000 000 000 000 000

PAID BY THE INVENTOR
100 7TH STREET NW
SUITE 600
WASHINGTON DC 20004

100 978 001 000 000 000 000 000

EXAMINER

100 978 001 000 000 000 000 000

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

100 978 001 000 000 000 000 000

DATE MAILED: 04/26/95

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Interview Summary

Application No.

08/973,021

Applicant(s)

sen, Mouritsen, Hindersson, Duch, Sorensen, Dal

Examiner

WILLIAM SANDALS

Group Art Unit

1636



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) WILLIAM SANDALS

(3) _____

(2) Doug Price, Esq.

(4) _____

Date of Interview Apr 24, 2000Type: ☒ Telephonic ☐ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☐ applicant's representative).Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No. If yes, brief description:Agreement ☒ was reached. ☐ was not reached.Claim(s) discussed: None

Identification of prior art discussed:

US Pat No. 5,792,604 and US Pat No. 5,698,396.

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

The two US patents were discussed. Each of these references was improper in the rejection of the claims under 35 USC 103 in the office action mailed on 4-7-2000. The references will be deleted and an appropriate reference will be applied.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

1. ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

2. ☐ Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.

Examiner Note: You must sign and stamp this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.